UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.        | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR         | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,582             | 01/12/2006      | Celine Juliette Detecheverry | NL03 0878 US1       | 3317             |
| 65913<br>NXP, B.V.     | 7590 09/29/200  | EXAMINER                     |                     |                  |
| NXP INTELLE            | ECTUAL PROPERTY | BAISA, JOSELITO SASIS        |                     |                  |
| M/S41-SJ<br>1109 MCKAY | DRIVE           | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
| SAN JOSE, CA           | A 95131         | 2832                         |                     |                  |
|                        |                 |                              |                     |                  |
|                        |                 |                              | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                        |                 |                              | 09/29/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)        |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|--|
| 10/564,582      | DETECHEVERRY ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit            |  |  |
| JOSELITO BAISA  | 2832                |  |  |

|  | JOSELITO BAISA   | 2832  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>17 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION   | FOR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:  | replies: (1) an amendment, affidav<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance  | it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or            | hich places the (3) a Request            |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth<br>tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejectio                              | n.                                       |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL  | ension and the corresponding amount<br>hortened statutory period for reply orig                                      | of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                    |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the con | nsideration and/or search (see NO w);<br>eer form for appeal by materially re  | TE below); ducing or simplifying th                       |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-22. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  | Il be entered and an ex                                   | xplanation of                            |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fails<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)        | s to provide a<br>).                     |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |  | •   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:         Applicant's arguments are not persuasive.     </li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).</li> </ul>   |  |   |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |
| /Elvin G Enad/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2832   | /J. B./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 2832   | !   |  |  |  |